Case 1:02-cv-00446-HJW-TSB

Document 37

Filed 11/09/2005

Page 1 of 6

Case 1:02-cv-00446-HJW-TSB

Document 36-1

Filed 11/04/2005

Page 1 of 4

RECEIVED

United States District Court Southern District of Ohio Western Division Cincinnati, Ohio

NOV 0 9 2005

JAMES BONINI, Clerk CINCINNATI, OHIO

GRADUAL TAYLOR, Plaintiff

Civil Action No. 1:02cv446

VS.

Weber, J.; Black, M.J.

CHERYL HART,

Defendant

PRELIMINARY PRETRIAL CONFERENCE NOTICE PURSUANT TO FED. R.CIV.P. 16 AND 26(f)

Effective December 1, 2000, significant revisions were made to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, with the result that the Southern District of Ohio no longer has the authority to opt-out of either the MANDATORY DISCLOSURE RULES of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1), or the MANDATORY DISCOVERY CONFERENCE of counsel and any *pro se* parties required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f). Accordingly, as soon as practicable, but, in any event, no later than receipt of this notice, all counsel and any *pro se* parties shall agree on a date for their Discovery Conference as required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f). In addition, the parties must file the Report required by said Rule (*see* attached form) not later than 14 days after the Discovery Conference held by the parties. Unless otherwise agreed in the Discovery Plan, the parties shall make the disclosures required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(1) at or within 14 days after the Discovery Conference.

It is therefore ORDERED that the parties comply with the above mandates of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f) and file the Rule 26(f) Report of the Parties by **December 20, 2005**. The Court will thereafter, pursuant to Rule 16(b), enter a Calendar Order, or will set and hold a Scheduling Conference after which a Calendar Order will be issued.

s/Jan Lahley

Courtroom Deputy/Administrative Assistant for Magistrate Judge

Case 1:02-cv-00446-HJW-TSB

Document 36-1

Filed 11/04/2005

Page 2 of 4

United States District Court Southern District of Ohio Western Division Cincinnati, Ohio

Plaintiff

Case No.

VS

RULE 26(f) REPORT OF THE PARTIES

Defe	endant
	en e
Purs by:	uant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(f), a meeting was held on, and was atten
	Counsel for plaintiff
	Counsel for plaintiff
	Counsel for plaintiff
	Counsel for defendant
The	are exempt from disclosure under Rule 26(a)(1)(E) have agreed not to make initial disclosures. parties: do not unanimously consent to the jurisdiction of, and entry of judgment by, the United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636 (c) unanimously consent to the jurisdiction of, and entry of judgment by, the United
Reco parti	States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636 (c) mmended cut-off date for filing any motion to amend the pleadings and/or to add additions:
Will Has a Date	s case appropriate for mediation after a limited discovery period? the parties request the services of a court mediator? a settlement demand been made? by which a settlement demand can be made? by which a response can be made to settlement demand?
Reco	mmended Discovery Plan:

- - Describe the subjects on which discovery is to be sought and the nature and extent of discovery that each party needs to: (1) make a settlement evaluation, A.

Case 1:02-cv-00446-HJW-TSB

Document 37

Filed 11/09/2005

Page 3 of 6

Case 1:02-cv-00446-HJW-TSB

Document 36-1

Filed 11/04/2005

Page 3 of 4

		(2) prepare for case dispositive motions and (3) prepare for trial:	
	В.	What changes should be made, if any, in the limitations on discovery imposed under the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure or the S.D. Ohio Civ. Rules, including the limitations to 25 interrogatories, 40 requests for admissions, and the limitation of ten depositions, each lasting no more than one seven-hour day?	
	C.		
	C.	Additional recommended limitations on discovery:	
	D.	Describe the areas for which expert testimony is expected and indicate whether each expert will be specially retained within the meaning of Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2).	
	E.	Recommended date for identifying primary experts:	
	F.	Recommended date for producing primary expert reports:	
	G.	Recommended date for identifying rebuttal experts:	
	H.	Recommended date for producing rebuttal expert reports:	
	I.	Recommended discovery cut-off date:	
7. 8.	Recon	Recommended Dispositive Motion Deadline date: Recommended date for Joint Pretrial Order: (at least 30 days before trial date)	
9.	Recon	mended date for trial term:	

Other matters for the attention of the Court:

SIGNATURES:
Attorneys for Plaintiff

Attorneys for Defendant or Third Parties:

Ohio Bar #

Trial Attorney for

Ohio Bar #

Ohio Bar #

Trial Attorney for

Ohio Bar #

Trial Attorney for

Trial Attorney for

Ohio Bar#

Trial Attorney for

Document 37

Document 36-1

Page 4 of 6

Page 4 of 4

Filed 11/09/2005

Filed 11/04/2005

Case 1:02-cv-00446-HJW-TSB

Ohio Bar#

Trial Attorney for

Case 1:02-cv-00446-HJW-TSB

Gradual Taylor 874 North Bend Road Cincinnati, OH 45224

OFFICE OF THE CLERK

OUNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO

100 FAST FOTH STREET ROOM 103 100 EAST FOOTH STREET, ROOM 103 CHACTNINATI, OHIO 45202

OFFICIAL BUSINESS

15x41/A

TO THE DEAL FROM THE

語れていると

RECEIVED NOV 0 9 2005

JAMES BONINI, Clerk CINCINNATI, OHIO

BC: 48600007899 NOT DELIVERABLE TO

4 0

11/08/05

ZHXHII

NEW ADDRESSED

*0418-02801-04-47